### **Midpoint Review**

#### **Material Testing Fixture**

February 28, 2013

Matt Garcia, Randy Jackson, Jeremy Mountain, Qian Tong, Hui Yao

College of Engineering, Forestry, and Natural Sciences Northern Arizona University

# Overview

- 1. Problem Statement
- 2. Prototype
- 3. Modifications
- 4. Upcoming Tasks
- 5. Manufacturing
- 6. Updated Timeline



#### Problem Statement

**Need:** The eccentric loading of the test specimens causes fatigue failure.

**Goal:** Design an improved material testing fixture.

#### **Constraints:**

- 1. Specimen size (3 x 3 x 20) mm
- 2. Exposed Length (12mm)
- 3. Grips cannot bite into specimen
- 4. Push rods and grips must be nonmagnetic
- 5. Distance between magnets (10mm)
- 6. Magnetic Field (0.5 1.0 T )
- 7. Axial Alignment (50 μm)

#### **Objectives:**

| Objectives                        | Basis for<br>Measurement            | Units        |
|-----------------------------------|-------------------------------------|--------------|
| Axially Aligned                   | Distance from<br>Perfect Alginment  | μm           |
| Tension<br>Compression<br>Testing | Repeated Testing                    | # of Tests   |
| Damage<br>Specimen                | Cost of Specimen<br>Time to Replace | \$\$ / Month |
| Inexpensive                       | Machining Cost<br>Material Cost     | \$\$         |

## Prototype

Sleeve





• Tip



5

Randy

Base – Top / Right





Base – Front / Bottom





#### • Micrometer Tips





Assembled – Proof of Concept







## Modifications

- More aggressive taper
- Thicker tines



# **Upcoming Tasks**

Ø0.181 ±0.0002 Ø1.378 Finite Element Analysis (COSMOS) ø 0.020 Complete Manufacturer's Drawings DETAIL B SCALE 8 : 1 8 Machine/Outsource R0.079 **Final Product** R0.079 4 x Ø0.257 THRU 4 x 5/16-18 UNC R0.079 **TRUE R0.197** 787 Ø0.571 ±0.0002 SECTION A-A

Randy

Ø0.193±0.0002

## Manufacturing – Cont.

- NAU Machine Shop
- David Barnes Company
- Elrod Machine & Manufacturing Inc.



## Conclusion

- 1. Problem Statement
- 2. Prototype
- 3. Modifications
- 4. Manufacturing
- 5. Updated Timeline



## **Updated** Timeline

|    | Task Name                     | - |
|----|-------------------------------|---|
| 1  | Regular Customer Input        | ŀ |
| 2  | Progress Report Presentation  |   |
| З  | Progress Report               |   |
| 4  | Meet with Dr. Tester          |   |
| 5  | Create Manufacturers Drawings |   |
| 6  | Manufacturing                 |   |
| 7  | Testing                       |   |
| 8  | Midpoint Review Presentations |   |
| 9  | Midpoint Review Report        |   |
| 10 | Hardware Review 1             |   |
| 11 | Testing and Modifications     |   |
| 12 | Hardware Review 2             |   |
| 13 | Walkthrough Presentation      |   |
| 14 | Final Report                  |   |
| 15 | Poster                        |   |
| 16 | Final Presentation            |   |



#### References

http://www.davidbarnescompany.com

http://www.goodfellow.com

http://www.engineershandbook.com/Tables/frictioncoefficients.htm

http://www.engineersedge.com

http://www.alibaba.com

http://www.tcdcinc.com

http://www.engineeringtoolbox.com/friction-coefficients-d\_778.html

http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=sPwURRG9\_Gs

<u>http://nau.edu/Research/Feature-Stories/NAU-on-Leading-Edge-of-</u> <u>Smart-Materials-Research/</u>

Shigley's Mechanical Engineering Design, 9<sup>th</sup> Edition.

Dr. Constantin Ciocanel